UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,750	09/22/2005	Ryou Sakurai	Q85434	3023
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 04/30/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			THOMPSON, TIMOTHY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/518,750	SAKURAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TIMOTHY J. THOMPSON	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	, <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		3 3. <b>3</b> . <b>2</b> . 3.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-46 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,3-10 and 13-46 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kishi(U.S. Pat. NO. 7,227,525) in view of Garman(U.S. Pat. NO. 6,846,668).

Regarding claim 11, 12, Kishi discloses an image display panel(fig 4), in which two or more groups of particles having different colors and different charge characteristics are sealed between opposed two substrates( col 5, lines 1-40), at least one of two substrates being transparent(col 14, lines 15-30), and, in which the particles, to which an electrostatic field produced by a pair of electrodes arranged on one substrate or both substrates is applied(fig 4, 31, 32), are made to move so as to display an image(col 6, lines 30-40), characterized in that two substrates of the image display panel are connected by using an adhesive(col 40, lines 12-32 and col 6,lines 13-25), an adhesive(col 17, lines 30-50). Kishi does not disclose the adhesive is thermosetting adhesive or a photo-curing adhesive made from an acrylic, and although Kishi discloses an adhesive is used, Kishi does not disclose the specific type of adhesive to be used. However, Garman discloses using a thermosetting adhesive or a photo-curing adhesive

Art Unit: 2873

made from an acrylic for attaching an object to a substrate (col 13, lines 44-50). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use a thermosetting adhesive or a photo-curing adhesive made from an acrylic as shown by Garman, with the micro fabricated device of Kishi, since as shown by Garman a photo-curing adhesive made from an acrylic is commonly used in mico fabricated devices for building the structure.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2342. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 6:00 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mack Ricky can be reached on (571) 272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,750

Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

/Timothy J Thompson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873